1		The Hon. John H. Chun
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF AT SEATTL	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR24-216-JHC
11	Plaintiff	
12		
13	V.	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
14	MICHAEL JANISCH,	
15	Defendant.	
16	The Count has considered the montice? China	lete d Metion to Continue Trial which
17	The Court has considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Continue Trial, which	
18	requests a continuance of the trial date and the pre-	trial motions deadline.
19	THE COURT FINDS that the failure to gra	nt a continuance of the trial date would
20	result in a miscarriage of justice, within the meaning	ng of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).
- 1	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that this	case is complex, as it arises from a
21	nearly two-year investigation and there is a significant volume of discovery that has been	
	nearly two-year investigation and there is a signifi	,
21 22 23	produced and is to be produced. Therefore, it is un	•
21		cant volume of discovery that has b

proceedings or the trial itself as currently set. Specifically, parties' briefing reflects the

extensive discovery already produced—more than 12,000 pages—which includes legal

process obtained in the investigation (e.g., search warrants, T-III pleadings), line sheets

25

26

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

reflecting pertinent intercepts from all three periods of T-III interception, surveillance photos, search photos, and HSI reports reflecting investigative events through approximately the end of September 2024. In addition, there remains to be produced additional supplemental reports, photos from the searches conducted on October 30, 2024, cell phone extractions, video from pole cameras, location data for cell phones and vehicles, and reports and materials generated by agencies other than HSI that have been involved in the investigation. Given the scope and complexity of the underlying investigation, this case may also raise novel questions of law and fact.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the failure to grant a continuance of the trial date and pretrial motions deadline would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

THE COURT FINDS, therefore, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6) and 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be continued until March 2, 2026 at 1:30 p.m. The period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pretrial motions will be filed no later than January 5, 2026.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2024.

THE HON. JOHN H. CHUN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

///

1	///
2	Presented by:
3	s/ Michelle Jensen
4	MICHELLE JENSEN JOSEPH C. SILVIO
5	Assistant United States Attorneys
6	
7	s/ Matthew Diggs MATTHEW DIGGS
8	EMMA C. ENGLUND Counsel for Michael Janisch
9	Counsel for whenael Jamisen
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	